

## FACTSHEET FOR PARTNERSHIP FIELD VALIDATION TEST

|  |   |              |  |
|--|---|--------------|--|
| Partnership Name   | West Coast Regional Carbon Sequestration Partnership  |              |  |
| Contacts:<br>DOE/NETL Project Mgr.   | Name<br>Dawn Deel, NETL Environmental Projects Division   | Organization | E-Mail<br><a href="mailto:Dawn.Deel@NETL.DOE.GOV">Dawn.Deel@NETL.DOE.GOV</a> |
| Principal Investigator   | John Kadyszewski, Winrock International – Ecosystem Services, <a href="mailto:JKadyszewski@winrock.org">JKadyszewski@winrock.org</a>  |              |  |
| Field Test Information:<br>Field Test Name   | WESTCARB Shasta County terrestrial sequestration pilot  |              |  |
| Test Location  | Shasta County, California   |              |  |
| Amount and Source of CO <sub>2</sub>   | Tons  | Source       |  |
|  | TBD. Includes afforestation, fuel management/biomass energy, and forest management activities.  |              |  |
| Field Test Partners (Primary Sponsors)   | Western Shasta Resource Conservation District, W.M. Beaty & Associates, Wheelabrator Shasta Energy Company, Pacific Forest Trust, California Forest Products Commission, USDA Forest Service – Pacific Southwest Research Station, USDA Forest Service – Pacific Northwest Research Station, California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection, Climate Trust, California Climate Action Registry, USDA Forest Service - Shasta Trinity National Forest, Pacific Gas & Electric, US National Park Service – Whiskeytown NRA, US National Park Service – Lassen Volcanic National Park, USDI Bureau of Land Management, Bascom Pacific LLC, Winrock International. |              |  |
| <b>Summary of Field Test Site and Operations:</b><br>Terrestrial pilot activities will take place on Federal, State and private ownerships throughout Shasta County. Shasta County includes a broad range of elevation, topography, forest, range and agricultural land types, and land ownerships, making it an excellent site for field validation of the full range of terrestrial sequestration opportunities identified in WESTCARB Phase I. Results demonstrated in Shasta County can be replicated on similar land types elsewhere in the WESTCARB region.<br><br>Terrestrial activities in Shasta County will include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Afforestation/reforestation pilot activities to validate the potential of rangelands, non-forested timberlands, and past fire sites to sequester carbon.</li><li>Development of a new methodology to quantify GHG emissions from wildfires and determine potential carbon credits from improved management of forest fuels, including reduced emissions from wildfires, enhanced carbon sequestration, and displaced fossil-fuel emissions when fuel removed from the forest is burned in a biomass energy facility.</li><li>Pilot activities for improved fuels management and biomass energy generation.</li><li>Pilot activities for conservation-based forest management of timberlands to increase carbon stocks.</li><li>Outreach to decision-makers and the public through web-accessible, GIS-based carbon project reporting.</li><li>Efforts to secure market recognition and validation for all terrestrial pilot activities.</li></ul> |   |              |  |
| <b>Research Objectives:</b><br>The overall objectives of WESTCARB Phase II are to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Validate and demonstrate the terrestrial carbon sequestration opportunities identified in Phase I, through pilot projects, methodology development, reporting, and market recognition.</li><li>Research to inform decisions by policymakers, communities, and businesses on how to invest in CCS technology development and deployment to achieve climate change mitigation objectives.</li></ul><br>Research objectives for afforestation include:   |   |              |  |

- Refine the Phase I economic analysis for afforestation with improved cost data.
- Gain on-the-ground experience to explore the feasibility and success of afforestation projects.
- Refine carbon estimates for afforestation, using baseline measurements, proxy measurements in relevant species groups, and industry data.
- Gain experience with site preparation, seedling sourcing, planting techniques, post-planting maintenance treatments, and other considerations necessary to inform the efforts of land managers, landowners and businesses in replicating and expanding afforestation projects for climate change mitigation in California and the WESTCARB region.
- Work with the California Climate Action Registry to “road-test” the Registry's Forest Project Protocols for this activity type.
- Explore potential impacts of climate change on afforestation projects in California and the WESTCARB region over the time frame of afforestation projects (projected changes in temperatures, water availability, snow pack, and optimum conditions for forest growth including possible "migration" of forests and other vegetation types to higher elevations as they affect present siting of afforestation projects).

Research objectives for improved fire management/biomass energy include:

- Develop methodologies, reflecting the consensus of the scientific and policy communities, for quantifying GHG emissions from wildfires and net sequestration/emissions reductions attributable to improved fuels management combined with biomass energy.
- Compile field data and/or imagery to assist in validating the methodology.
- Design measurement, monitoring and verification (MMV) protocols for fuel treatments.
- Collect data on treatment costs and potential revenues.
- Analyze carbon benefits from biomass energy production.
- Work with California Climate Action Registry and Climate Trust to evaluate potential for new accounting and reporting protocols for this activity type.
- Conduct outreach to potential carbon credit purchasers and market makers to ascertain validation and documentation requirements for marketability.

Research objectives for conservation-based forest management include:

- Evaluate net carbon benefits of conservation-based forest management activities such as harvesting at lower intensities than those mandated under California Forest Practice Rules, maintaining riparian buffers, managing riparian zones to favor large trees and dense canopies, and increasing stocking in forested areas. Estimate net emission reductions/sequestration attributable to project activities over the lifespan of the project.
- Document costs and cost-effectiveness of activities.
- Research and develop model easement terms to guarantee maintenance of the carbon benefits of forest management.
- Evaluate economics of registering and marketing of carbon as a forest management strategy.
- Assess the practicality and effectiveness of the California Climate Action Registry forest protocols in addressing issues of baselines, additionality, permanence, leakage, treatment of wood products, certification requirements, and costs of participation.

**Summary of Modeling and MMV Efforts: (Use the table provided for MMV)**

| Activity                                | Baseline scenario determination  | With-project scenario  | Techniques used   |
|---|--|--|---|
| Afforestation                           | Review site history; document existing land use and trends in land use using ground-based and remotely sensed data. Quantify baseline carbon stocks through standard field measurements at the time of site preparation.   | Model carbon accumulation in planted forests using standard growth models, for comparison to baseline scenario. Conduct field checks for planting success and survival.  | MMV guidance from California Climate Action Registry Forest Project Protocols, Chicago Climate Exchange, and USDOE 1605b Guidelines for Voluntary Reporting of Greenhouse Gases.          |
| Improved fire management/biomass energy | Estimate baseline GHG emissions from wildfire, building on existing fire models, including area and spatial distribution and the corresponding changes in carbon stocks due to fire, emissions of non-CO <sub>2</sub> greenhouse gases, forest recovery after fire. Use chronosequence fire data to validate and calibrate models. Estimate baseline GHG emissions from fossil fuel-based energy generation. | Choose fuel reduction prescriptions to be analyzed for net sequestration/ emissions reduction benefits. Model net benefits including reduced emissions from wildfire, sequestration benefits post-treatment, differences in GHG emissions from burning biomass in power plants vs. an open air, emissions reductions from fossil fuel substitution or displacement, initial carbon removals from treatments, and direct emissions from treatments. Quantify pre- and post-treatment carbon stocks using standard field measurements. | New baseline and crediting methodology to be developed in cooperation with fire scientists, policymakers and market validation entities. Will build on existing fire modeling approaches. |
| Conservation-based forest management    | Baseline for forest management will be based on state-mandated minimum standards of the California Forest Practice Rules, Option C. Quantify baseline carbon stocks through standard field measurements.   | Estimate net emission reductions/sequestration attributable to project activities over the lifespan of the project (e.g. 100-years), based on inventory data and appropriate growth models to compare projected carbon stocks and emissions with the project activity to carbon stocks in the baseline.  | MMV guidance from California Climate Action Registry Forest Project Protocols, Chicago Climate Exchange, and USDOE 1605b Guidelines for Voluntary Reporting of Greenhouse Gases.          |

**Accomplishments to Date:**

**Afforestation:**

- 400 landowners contacted, 44 surveyed. 14 site-specific afforestation planting and maintenance plans developed.
- 8 pilots underway, with landowner agreements signed, totaling 336 acres for planting in 2008 and 2009. Other agreements in development or negotiation.
- NEPA CatEx received on all projects to date.
- Site preparation complete on 4 projects for 2008 planting.
- High level of landowner interest; landowners are willing to share costs.

- Submitted *Preliminary Afforestation Plan for Pilot Projects in Shasta County*.
- Submitted *WESTCARB Afforestation Pilot Projects in Shasta County, California: An Interim Report*

Improved fire management/biomass energy:

- Assembled WESTCARB Fire Panel fire scientists and fuels experts to work on fire methodology development. Panel includes California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection, California Air Resources Board, Lake County Resources Initiative, Oregon Department of Forestry, Oregon State University, Spatial Informatics Group, TSS Consultants, University of California at Berkeley - Center for Fire Research and Outreach, USDA Forest Service - Pacific Northwest Research Station - Pacific Wildland Fire Sciences Laboratory, USDA Forest Service - Pacific Southwest Research Station - Redding Silviculture Laboratory, USDA Forest Service - Pacific Southwest Research Station – Sierra Nevada Research Center, USDI National Park Service - Whiskeytown NRA, W.M. Beaty & Associates, Western Shasta Resource Conservation District, and Winrock International. Conducted two Fire Panel meetings, October 2006 and May 2007.
- Developed *Protocol for monitoring and estimating greenhouse gas benefits from hazardous fuels management in Western U.S. forests*, a “straw-man” methodology to begin discussion.
- UC Berkeley - Center for Fire Research and Outreach developed alternate approaches to quantify baseline fire risk across the regions of northern California where WESTCARB fuel reduction pilot activities are being monitored.
- USDA Forest Service - Pacific Northwest Research Station developed estimates of emissions to be paired with the baseline rate of fire; emissions estimation relies on USFS fire models and in particular the Fuel Characteristic Classification System (FCCS).
- Oregon State University completed literature review and analysis of data on rates of decomposition of woody debris and conducted long-term modeling using STANDCARB.
- Pre-treatment carbon stock measurements were conducted on 3 fuels projects on private (private industrial and utility) forest lands in Shasta County, following a Standard Operating Procedures manual developed by Winrock. The purpose of the measurements was to identify, in real as opposed to modeled forests, the carbon stocks available to be burned before and after treatment, the direct impacts of fuel treatments on carbon stocks in different carbon pools (e.g. increases in dead wood, decreases in dense growth), and the fuel removed from the forest for biomass energy during treatment. Measurements will also provide input data for fire models used to simulate fire behavior and emissions in the baseline (without-treatment) and with-treatment scenarios. Post-treatment measurements were also conducted on a portion of the treatments.
- Submitted *Summary of Work to Date: Developing a Project Methodology for Measuring GHG Benefits of Improved Fuels Management on Forested Lands*.

Conservation-based forest management:

- Continued implementation of forest management activities on the Bascom Pacific Conservation-Based Forest Management Pilot Project. Developed measurement plan; completed measurements of all carbon pools; plans forestry activities to increase carbon stocks; developed perpetual conservation easement; developed projections of the baseline scenario and project activity scenario.
- Submitted *Paper Summarizing the Carbon Baseline and Easement Creation for the Shasta County Conservation-Based Forest Management Pilot Activity*.

**Summarize Target Sink Storage Opportunities and Benefits to the Region:**

Afforestation:

|  | Shasta County | California | Oregon | Washington |
|--|---------------|------------|--------|------------|
|--|---------------|------------|--------|------------|

Improved fire management/biomass energy:

Net sequestration/emissions reductions benefits of reducing forest fuels have yet to be quantified. However, the following is a summary of estimated baseline emissions from fire that could be reduced (not eliminated) though improved management of forest fuels and biomass energy generation.

|               | <b>emissions from fires</b>     |
|---------------|---------------------------------|
| Shasta County | 0.019 MMTCO <sub>2</sub> e/yr * |
| California    | 1.46 MMTCO <sub>2</sub> e/yr    |
| Oregon        | 1.03 MMTCO <sub>2</sub> e/yr    |
| Washington    | 0.18 MMTCO <sub>2</sub> e/yr    |
| Arizona       | 0.47 MMTCO <sub>2</sub> e/yr    |

\* Note that the analysis period for which data was available represented years with an unusually low incidence of fire. Over a longer time frame, fire is probably a much more significant and may be a dominant cause of change in Shasta County.

Conservation-based forest management:

Net sequestration/emissions reductions benefits will be estimated as the project progresses.

#### Cost:

**Total Field Project Cost:**

**\$7,624,123**\_\_\_\_\_

**DOE Share:**        **\$1,922,500**\_\_\_\_\_  
**25.2%**

**Non-DOE Share:**   **\$5,701,623**\_\_\_\_\_  
**74.8%**

#### Field Project Key Dates:


**Baseline Completed:** 2007

**Drilling Operations Begin:** n/a

**Injection Operations Begin:** n/a


**MMV Events:** ongoing 2007-09

#### Field Test Schedule and Milestones (Gantt Chart):



#### Additional Information

**Figure 1. WESTCARB Shasta County afforestation pilot projects underway and in development.**



**Figure 2. Shasta County map, showing major landowners/managers participating in WESTCARB (not shown: Pacific Forest Trust/Bascom Pacific and PG&E lands).**